

REPORT TITLE: EY (EXTERNAL AUDIT): AUDIT PLAN 2016/17

AUDIT COMMITTEE

7 MARCH 2017

PORTFOLIO HOLDER: CLLR GODFREY (PORTFOLIO HOLDER FOR FINANCE)

REPORT OF EY (EXTERNAL AUDIT)

Contact Officer: Helen Thompson, Executive Director (EY)

Tel No: +44 (0) 23 8038 2099 Email: HThompson2@uk.ey.com

WARDS:GENERAL

#### PURPOSE

The Audit Plan sets out how we intend to carry out our responsibilities as your auditor. Its purpose is to provide the Audit Committee with a basis to review our proposed audit approach and scope for the 2016/17 audit in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice, the Statement of Responsibilities issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA) Ltd, auditing standards and other professional requirements. It is also to ensure that our audit is aligned with the Committee's service expectations

#### RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. For the Committee to ask questions as necessary and note the contents of the Plan

IMPLICATIONS:1 COUNCIL STRATEGY OUTCOME

1.1 N/A

2 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

2.1 N/A

3 LEGAL AND PROCUREMENT IMPLICATIONS

3.1 N/A

4 WORKFORCE IMPLICATIONS

4.1 None

5 PROPERTY AND ASSET IMPLICATIONS

5.1 None

6 CONSULTATION AND COMMUNICATION

6.1 The content of the Plan has been discussed and agreed with Council officers.

7 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

7.1 N/A

8 EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSEMENT

8.1 None

9 RISK MANAGEMENT

9.1 N/A

10 SUPPORTING INFORMATION:

10.1 None

11 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED

11.1 N/A

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS:-

Previous Committee Reports:-

None

Other Background Documents:-

None

APPENDICES:

Audit Plan 2016/17

# Winchester City Council

Year ending 31 March 2017

Audit Plan

February 2017

Ernst & Young LLP



**EY**

Building a better  
working world

Audit Committee  
Winchester City Council  
City Offices  
Colebrook Street  
Winchester  
SO23 9LJ

16 February 2017

Dear Committee Members

## **2016/17 Audit Plan**

We are pleased to attach our Audit Plan which sets out how we intend to carry out our responsibilities as your auditor. Its purpose is to provide the Audit Committee with a basis to review our proposed audit approach and scope for the 2016/17 audit in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice, the Statement of Responsibilities issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA) Ltd, auditing standards and other professional requirements. It is also to ensure that our audit is aligned with the Committee's service expectations.

This plan summarises our initial assessment of the key risks driving the development of an effective audit for the Council, and outlines our planned audit strategy in response to those risks. We will present you with an update of progress on our Audit Plan at a subsequent meeting and after our early interim work has been performed.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss this plan with you on 7 March 2017 and to understand whether there are other matters which you consider may influence our audit.

Yours faithfully

Helen Thompson

Executive Director

*For and behalf of Ernst & Young LLP*  
*Enc*

## Contents

<b>1. Overview .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Financial statement risks .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3. Economy, efficiency and effectiveness .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4. Our audit process and strategy.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>5. Independence.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Appendix A Fees.....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Appendix B UK required communications with those charged with governance ....</b>	<b>15</b>

In April 2015 Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) issued "Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies 2015-16". It is available from the Chief Executive of each audited body and via the PSAA website ([www.psa.co.uk](http://www.psa.co.uk))

The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas.

The 'Terms of Appointment from 1 April 2015' issued by PSAA sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice (the Code) and statute, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This Audit Plan is prepared in the context of the Statement of responsibilities. It is addressed to the Audit Committee, and is prepared for the sole use of the audited body. We, as appointed auditor, take no responsibility to any third party.

Our Complaints Procedure – If at any time you would like to discuss with us how our service to you could be improved, or if you are dissatisfied with the service you are receiving, you may take the issue up with your usual partner or director contact. If you prefer an alternative route, please contact Steve Varley, our Managing Partner, 1 More London Place, London SE1 2AF. We undertake to look into any complaint carefully and promptly and to do all we can to explain the position to you. Should you remain dissatisfied with any aspect of our service, you may of course take matters up with our professional institute. We can provide further information on how you may contact our professional institute.

# 1. Overview

## Context for the audit

This Audit Plan covers the work that we plan to perform to provide you with:

- ▶ our audit opinion on whether the financial statements of Winchester City Council give a true and fair view of the financial position as at 31 March 2017 and of the income and expenditure for the year then ended; and
- ▶ a statutory conclusion on the Council's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

We will also review and report to the National Audit Office (NAO), to the extent and in the form required by them, on the Council's Whole of Government Accounts return.

When planning the audit we take into account several key inputs:

- ▶ strategic, operational and financial risks relevant to the financial statements;
- ▶ developments in financial reporting and auditing standards;
- ▶ the quality of systems and processes;
- ▶ changes in the business and regulatory environment; and
- ▶ management's views on all of the above.

By considering these inputs, our audit is focused on the areas that matter and our feedback is more likely to be relevant to the Council. Our audit will also include the mandatory procedures that we are required to perform in accordance with applicable laws and auditing standards.

In parts two and three of this plan we provide more detail on the above areas and we outline our plans to address them. Our proposed audit process and strategy are summarised below and set out in more detail in section four.

We will provide an update to the Audit Committee on the results of our work in these areas in our report to those charged with governance scheduled for delivery in September 2017.

## Our process and strategy

### Financial statement audit

We consider materiality in terms of the possible impact of an error or omission on the financial statements and set an overall planning materiality level. We then set a tolerable error to reduce the probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceeds planning materiality to an appropriately low level. We also assess each disclosure and consider qualitative issues affecting materiality as well as quantitative issues.

To the fullest extent permissible by auditing standards, we will seek to rely on the work of internal audit wherever possible.

Further detail is included in section two of this Audit Plan.

### **Arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness**

Our approach to the value for money (VFM) conclusion for Winchester City Council for 2016/17 is based on the approach specified by PSAA. For 2016/17 this is based on the overall evaluation criterion:

*“In all significant respects, the audited body had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people”*

We adopt an integrated audit approach, so our work on the financial statement audit feeds into our consideration of the arrangements in place for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

We consider the following significant risks to reaching our conclusion on arrangements to secure VFM:

- ▶ Failure to develop arrangements to secure financial balance over the medium term.
- ▶ Failure to make progress on developing organisational arrangements.

Further detail is included in section three of this Audit Plan.



## 2. Financial statement risks

We outline below our assessment of the financial statement risks facing the Council, identified through our knowledge of the Council's operations and discussion with those charged with governance and officers.

At our meeting, we will seek to validate these with you.

Significant risks (including fraud risks)	Our audit approach
<b>Risk of management override</b>	
<p>As identified in ISA (UK and Ireland) 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively.</p> <p>We identify and respond to this fraud risk on every audit engagement.</p>	<p>Our approach will focus on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Testing the appropriateness of journal entries recorded in the general ledger and other adjustments made in the preparation of the financial statements.</li> <li>▶ Reviewing accounting estimates for evidence of management bias.</li> <li>▶ Evaluating the business rationale for significant unusual transactions.</li> <li>▶ Evaluating the rationale for any changes in accounting policy.</li> </ul>

### Respective responsibilities in relation to fraud and error

We would like to take this opportunity to remind you that management has the primary responsibility to prevent and detect fraud. It is important that management, with the oversight of those charged with governance, has a culture of ethical behaviour and a strong control environment that both deters and prevents fraud.

Our responsibility is to plan and perform audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free of material misstatements, whether caused by error or fraud. As auditors, we approach each engagement with a questioning mind that accepts the possibility that a material misstatement due to fraud could occur, and design the appropriate procedures to consider such risk.

Based on the requirements of auditing standards our approach will focus on:

- ▶ identifying fraud risks during the planning stages;
- ▶ enquiry of management about risks of fraud and the controls to address those risks;
- ▶ understanding the oversight given by those charged with governance of management's processes over fraud;
- ▶ consideration of the effectiveness of management's controls designed to address the risk of fraud;
- ▶ determining an appropriate strategy to address any identified risks of fraud; and
- ▶ performing mandatory procedures regardless of specifically identified fraud risks.

### 3. Economy, efficiency and effectiveness

We are required to consider whether the Council has put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

For 2016/17 this is based on the overall evaluation criterion:

*“In all significant respects, the audited body had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people”*

Proper arrangements are defined by statutory guidance issued by the National Audit Office. They comprise your arrangements to:

- ▶ take informed decisions;
- ▶ deploy resources in a sustainable manner; and
- ▶ work with partners and other third parties.

In considering your proper arrangements, we will draw on the requirements of the CIPFA/SOLACE framework for local government to ensure that our assessment is made against a framework that you are already required to have in place and to report on through documents such as your annual governance statement.

We are only required to determine whether there are any risks that we consider significant, which the Code of Audit Practice defines as:

*“A matter is significant if, in the auditor’s professional view, it is reasonable to conclude that the matter would be of interest to the audited body or the wider public.”*

Our risk assessment supports the planning of sufficient work to enable us to deliver a safe conclusion on arrangements to secure value for money and enables us to determine the nature and extent of further work that may be required. If we do not identify any significant risks there is no requirement to carry out further work.

Our risk assessment has therefore considered both the potential financial impact of the issues we have identified, and also the likelihood that the issue will be of interest to local taxpayers, the Government and other stakeholders. This has resulted in the following significant VFM risk which we view as relevant to our value for money conclusion.

**Significant value for money risks****Our audit approach****Failure to deliver medium term financial balance**

In its refreshed Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) the Council forecasts a small net budget gap from 2018/19, growing to an annual budget gap of approximately £2.5 million by 2021/22. This is after assumed council tax increases, income from the capital programme and a net call on reserves. A number of large capital schemes are not forecast to start generating a return until the end of medium term planning horizon but are leading to upfront budget pressures.

The Council still does not face significant financial challenges in the short terms relative to others due its level of reserves. However, it cannot continue to sustain its current financial position without recurrent reductions in spending or increases in income. Its strategy continues to be grow revenues. A significant part of this is through its relatively large and ambitious capital programme, and new and more commercial investment schemes such as the Strategic Asset Purchase Scheme which is intended to produce rental income to support services. The current MTFS is based on the assumption that major projects in the capital programme will progress as planned. In recent years the Council has had difficulties in managing and delivering its capital programme on time and external reviews have noted a lack of available capacity to support asset management planning.

Our approach will focus on the robustness of the Council's plans and arrangements to deliver sustainable financial balance over the medium term with a particular focus on its ability to deliver its ambitious capital plans and the capacity of its asset management planning arrangements.

**Significant value for money risks**

**Our audit approach**

**Progress on developing organisational arrangements**

Our VFM conclusion work in 2015/16 considered the findings of recent external reviews of the Council undertaken in recent years in so far as they were relevant to our VFM conclusion responsibilities:

- ▶ Silver Hill Independent Review.
- ▶ 2013 Local Government Association (LGA) Peer Review.
- ▶ Local Partnerships Review of Programme Management (4Ps review).

Our approach will be to focus on the progress made to address weaknesses in governance and other arrangements identified in previous years drawing on findings and evidence from the current LGA peer review of the Council.

Although progress had been made in relation to a number of the issues highlighted by the reviews we concluded there remained a need at the end of 2015/16 to continue to develop organisational arrangements in some areas.

As part of its membership of the LGA the Council has opted to take part in a corporate peer review co-ordinated by the LGA in early 2017. The last time it had a peer review was in 2013, and given the significant changes and developments that have taken place both inside and outside the Council over the past four years, including development of the new Council Strategy and recent changes in the Leadership Team, the Council considered it helpful to have a baseline assessment of its strengths and areas for development as an organisation.

## 4. Our audit process and strategy

### 4.1 Objective and scope of our audit

Under the Code of Audit Practice (the Code) our principal objectives are to review and report on the Council's:

- ▶ financial statements; and
- ▶ arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources to the extent required by the relevant legislation and the requirements of the Code.

We issue a two-part audit report covering both of these objectives.

#### i Financial statement audit

Our objective is to form an opinion on the financial statements under International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland).

We report to you by exception in respect of your governance statement and other accompanying material as required, in accordance with relevant guidance prepared by the NAO on behalf of the Comptroller and Auditor General.

Alongside our audit report, we also:

- ▶ review and report to the NAO on the Whole of Government Accounts return to the extent and in the form they require; and
- ▶ give a separate opinion on the part of the Council's financial statements that relates to the accounts of the pension fund.

#### ii Arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness

We are required to consider whether the Council has put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

### 4.2 Audit process overview

#### Processes

Our initial assessment of the key processes across the Council has identified the following key processes where we will seek to test key controls, both manual and IT:

- ▶ housing benefits and local council tax support; and
- ▶ payroll.

We have also identified the following key processes that we will walkthrough and test substantively post year-end:

- ▶ accounts receivable;
- ▶ accounts payable;
- ▶ cash and bank (cash receipting and treasury management);
- ▶ car park income;
- ▶ housing rents;

- ▶ council tax;
- ▶ business rates;
- ▶ property, plant and equipment;
- ▶ pensions; and
- ▶ procedures used to close the ledger and produce the financial statements

### **Analytics**

We will use our computer-based analytics tools to enable us to capture whole populations of your financial data, in particular journal entries. These tools:

- ▶ help identify specific exceptions and anomalies which can then be subject to more traditional substantive audit tests; and
- ▶ give greater likelihood of identifying errors than random sampling techniques.

We will report the findings from our process and analytics work, including any significant weaknesses or inefficiencies identified and recommendations for improvement, to management and the Audit Committee

### **Internal audit**

As in prior years, we will review internal audit plans and the results of their work. We will reflect the findings from these reports, together with reports from any other work completed in the year, in our final reporting where we raise issues that could have an impact on the year-end financial statements. Where relevant, we will seek to use the work of internal audit if they have covered the key controls we wish to test in the Council's key processes outlined above.

### **Use of specialists**

When auditing key judgements, we are often required to rely on the input and advice provided by specialists who have qualifications and expertise not possessed by the core audit team. The areas where either EY or third party specialists provide input for the current year audit are:

<b>Area</b>	<b>Specialists</b>
Property, Plant and Equipment	Council commissioned valuer and EY valuations team
Pensions	Pension fund's actuary and EY pensions team

In accordance with Auditing Standards, we will evaluate each specialist's professional competence and objectivity, considering their qualifications, experience and available resources, together with the independence of the individuals performing the work.

We also consider the work performed by the specialist in light of our knowledge of the Council's environment and processes and our assessment of audit risk in the particular area. For example, we would typically perform the following procedures:

- ▶ analyse source data and make inquiries as to the procedures used by the expert to establish whether the source data is relevant and reliable;
- ▶ assess the reasonableness of the assumptions and methods used;

- ▶ consider the appropriateness of the timing of when the specialist carried out the work; and
- ▶ assess whether the substance of the specialist's findings are properly reflected in the financial statements.

### 4.3 **Mandatory procedures required by auditing standards**

As well as the financial statement risks outlined in section three, we must perform other procedures as required by auditing, ethical and independence standards, the Code and other regulations. We outline below the procedures we will undertake during the course of our audit.

#### ***Procedures required by standards***

- ▶ addressing the risk of fraud and error;
- ▶ reviewing significant disclosures included in the financial statements;
- ▶ reviewing entity-wide controls;
- ▶ reading other information contained in the financial statements and reporting whether it is inconsistent with our understanding and the financial statements; and
- ▶ considering and reporting on auditor independence.

#### ***Procedures required by the Code***

- ▶ reviewing, and reporting on as appropriate, other information published with the financial statements, including the Annual Governance Statement; and
- ▶ reviewing and reporting on the Whole of Government Accounts return, in line with the instructions issued by the NAO.

Finally, we are also required to discharge our statutory duties and responsibilities as established by the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

### 4.4 **Materiality**

For the purposes of determining whether the financial statements are free from material error, we define materiality as the magnitude of an omission or misstatement that, individually or in aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the users of the financial statements. Our evaluation requires professional judgement and so takes into account qualitative as well as quantitative considerations implied in the definition.

We have initially determined that overall materiality for the financial statements of the Council is approximately £1.9 million based on 2% of gross expenditure on services. We will communicate uncorrected audit misstatements greater than £93,050 to you.

The amount we consider material at the end of the audit may differ from our initial determination. At this stage, however, it is not feasible to anticipate all the circumstances that might ultimately influence our judgement. At the end of the audit we will form our final opinion by reference to all matters that could be significant to users of the financial statements, including the total effect of any audit misstatements, and our evaluation of materiality at that date.

## 4.5 Fees

The duty to prescribe fees is a statutory function delegated to Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government. PSAA has published a scale fee for all relevant bodies. This is defined as the fee required by auditors to meet statutory responsibilities under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in accordance with the NAO Code. The indicative fee scale for the audit of the Council is £56,336.

## 4.6 Your audit team

The engagement team will be led by Helen Thompson, Executive Director, following Kate Handy's retirement. Helen is supported by Simon Mathers, senior manager, who has significant experience of local government council audits and is responsible for the day-to-day direction of audit work and is the key point of contact for the finance team.

## 4.7 Timetable of communication, deliverables and insights

We have set out below a timetable showing the key stages of the audit, including the VFM work and the Whole of Government Accounts. The timetable includes the deliverables we have agreed to provide to the Council through the Audit Committee's cycle in 2016/17. These dates are determined to ensure our alignment with the PSAA rolling calendar of deadlines.

From time to time matters may arise that require immediate communication with the Audit Committee and we will discuss them with the Committee Chair as appropriate.

Following the conclusion of our audit we will prepare an Annual Audit Letter to communicate the key issues arising from our work to the Council and external stakeholders, including members of the public.

<b>Audit phase</b>	<b>Timetable</b>	<b>Audit Committee timetable</b>	<b>Deliverables</b>
High level planning	<b>December 2017</b>	Delivered	Audit Fee Letter
Risk assessment and setting of scopes	<b>December-February 2017</b>	7 March 2017	Audit Plan
Testing routine processes and controls	<b>March - April 2017</b>	29 June 2017	Progress Report
Year-end audit	<b>July - August 2017</b>		
Completion of audit and Conclusion of reporting	<b>August 2017</b>	28 September 2017	Report to those charged with governance via the Audit Results Report Audit report (including our opinion on the financial statements; and overall value for money conclusion). Audit completion certificate Reporting to the NAO on the Whole of Government Accounts return.
Completion of audit	<b>September 2017</b>	7 December 2017	Annual Audit Letter



In addition to the above formal reporting and deliverables we will seek to provide practical business insights and updates on regulatory matters.

## 5. Independence

### 5.1 Introduction

The APB Ethical Standards and ISA (UK and Ireland) 260 'Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance', requires us to communicate with you on a timely basis on all significant facts and matters that bear on our independence and objectivity. The Ethical Standards, as revised in December 2010, require that we do this formally both at the planning stage and at the conclusion of the audit, as well as during the audit if appropriate. The aim of these communications is to ensure full and fair disclosure by us to those charged with your governance on matters in which you have an interest.

Required communications	
Planning stage	Final stage
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ The principal threats, if any, to objectivity and independence identified by EY including consideration of all relationships between you, your affiliates and directors and us.</li> <li>▶ The safeguards adopted and the reasons why they are considered to be effective, including any Engagement Quality Review.</li> <li>▶ The overall assessment of threats and safeguards.</li> <li>▶ Information about the general policies and process within EY to maintain objectivity and independence.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ A written disclosure of relationships (including the provision of non-audit services) that bear on our objectivity and independence, the threats to our independence that these create, any safeguards that we have put in place and why they address such threats, together with any other information necessary to enable our objectivity and independence to be assessed.</li> <li>▶ Details of non-audit services provided and the fees charged in relation thereto.</li> <li>▶ Written confirmation that we are independent.</li> <li>▶ Details of any inconsistencies between APB Ethical Standards, the PSAA Terms of Appointment and your policy for the supply of non-audit services by EY and any apparent breach of that policy.</li> <li>▶ An opportunity to discuss auditor independence issues.</li> </ul>

During the course of the audit we must also communicate with you whenever any significant judgements are made about threats to objectivity and independence and the appropriateness of our safeguards, for example when accepting an engagement to provide non-audit services.

We also provide information on any contingent fee arrangements, the amounts of any future contracted services, and details of any written proposal to provide non-audit services.

We ensure that the total amount of fees that EY and our network firms have charged to you and your affiliates for the provision of services during the reporting period are disclosed; analysed in appropriate categories.

### 5.2 Relationships, services and related threats and safeguards

We highlight the following significant facts and matters that may be reasonably considered to bear upon our objectivity and independence, including any principal threats. However we have adopted the safeguards below to mitigate these threats along with the reasons why they are considered to be effective.

### ***Self-interest threats***

A self-interest threat arises when EY has financial or other interests in your entity. Examples include where we have an investment in your entity; where we receive significant fees in respect of non-audit services; where we need to recover long outstanding fees; or where we enter into a business relationship with the Council.

At the time of writing, there are no long outstanding fees.

We believe that it is appropriate for us to undertake permissible non-audit services, and we will comply with the policies that the Council has approved and that are in compliance with the PSAA Terms of Appointment.

At the time of writing, there are no non-audit fees. No additional safeguards are required.

A self-interest threat may also arise if members of our audit engagement team have objectives or are rewarded in relation to sales of non-audit services to the Council. We confirm that no member of our audit engagement team, including those from other service lines, is in this position, in compliance with Ethical Standard 4.

There are no other self-interest threats at the date of this report.

### ***Self-review threats***

Self-review threats arise when the results of a non-audit service performed by EY or others within the EY network are reflected in the amounts included or disclosed in the financial statements.

There are no other self-review threats at the date of this report.

### ***Management threats***

Partners and employees of EY are prohibited from taking decisions on behalf of management of your entity. Management threats may also arise during the provision of a non-audit service where management is required to make judgements or decisions based on that work.

There are no management threats at the date of this report.

### ***Other threats***

Other threats, such as advocacy, familiarity or intimidation, may arise.

There are no other threats at the date of this report.

### ***Overall Assessment***

Overall we consider that the adopted safeguards appropriately mitigate the principal threats identified, and we therefore confirm that EY is independent and the objectivity and independence of Helen Thompson, the Audit Engagement Director and the audit engagement team have not been compromised.

## **5.3 Other required communications**

EY has policies and procedures that instil professional values as part of firm culture and ensure that the highest standards of objectivity, independence and integrity are maintained.

Details of the key policies and processes within EY for maintaining objectivity and independence can be found in our annual Transparency Report, which the firm is required to publish by law. The most recent version of this report is for the year ended June 2016 and can be found here:

<http://www.ey.com/uk/en/about-us/ey-uk-transparency-report-2016>

## Appendix A Fees

A breakdown of our agreed fee is shown below.

	Planned Fee 2016/17 £	Scale fee 2016/17 £	Outturn fee 2015/16 £
<b>Total Audit Fee – Code work</b>	<b>56,336</b>	<b>56,336</b>	<b>56,336</b>
Certification of claims and returns	8,483	8,483	10,535
Non-audit Work	0	0	0

*All fees exclude VAT.*

The agreed fee presented above is based on the following assumptions:

- ▶ officers meet the agreed timetable of deliverables;
- ▶ the internal controls operate effectively for the key processes outlined in section 4.2 above;
- ▶ we can rely on the work of internal audit as planned;
- ▶ our accounts opinion and value for money conclusion are unqualified;
- ▶ appropriate quality of documentation is provided by the Council; and
- ▶ the Council has an effective control environment.

If any of the above assumptions prove to be unfounded, we will seek a variation to the agreed fee. This will be discussed with the Council in advance.

Fees for the auditor's consideration of correspondence from the public and formal objections will be charged in addition to the scale fee.

## Appendix B UK required communications with those charged with governance

There are certain communications that we must provide to the Audit Committee. These are detailed here:

Required communication	Reference
<p><b>Planning and audit approach</b></p> <p>Communication of the planned scope and timing of the audit including any limitations.</p>	<p>▶ Audit Plan</p>
<p><b>Significant findings from the audit</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures</li> <li>▶ Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit</li> <li>▶ Significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management</li> <li>▶ Written representations that we are seeking</li> <li>▶ Expected modifications to the audit report</li> <li>▶ Other matters if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process</li> </ul>	<p>▶ Report to those charged with governance</p>
<p><b>Misstatements</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion</li> <li>▶ The effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods</li> <li>▶ A request that any uncorrected misstatement be corrected</li> <li>▶ In writing, corrected misstatements that are significant</li> </ul>	<p>▶ Report to those charged with governance</p>
<p><b>Fraud</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Enquiries of the Audit Committee to determine whether they have knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the entity</li> <li>▶ Any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that a fraud may exist</li> <li>▶ A discussion of any other matters related to fraud</li> </ul>	<p>▶ Report to those charged with governance</p>
<p><b>Related parties</b></p> <p>Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the entity's related parties including, when applicable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Non-disclosure by management</li> <li>▶ Inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions</li> <li>▶ Disagreement over disclosures</li> <li>▶ Non-compliance with laws and regulations</li> <li>▶ Difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the entity</li> </ul>	<p>▶ Report to those charged with governance</p>
<p><b>External confirmations</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Management's refusal for us to request confirmations</li> <li>▶ Inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures</li> </ul>	<p>▶ Report to those charged with governance</p>
<p><b>Consideration of laws and regulations</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Audit findings regarding non-compliance where the non-compliance is material and believed to be intentional. This communication is subject to compliance with legislation on tipping off</li> <li>▶ Enquiry of the Audit Committee into possible instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements and that the Committee may be aware of</li> </ul>	<p>▶ Report to those charged with governance</p>

Required communication	Reference
<p><b>Independence</b></p> <p>Communication of all significant facts and matters that bear on EY's objectivity and independence</p> <p>Communication of key elements of the audit engagement director's consideration of independence and objectivity such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ The principal threats</li> <li>▶ Safeguards adopted and their effectiveness</li> <li>▶ An overall assessment of threats and safeguards</li> <li>▶ Information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Audit Plan</li> <li>▶ Report to those charged with governance</li> </ul>
<p><b>Going concern</b></p> <p>Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty</li> <li>▶ Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements</li> <li>▶ The adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Report to those charged with governance</li> </ul>
<p><b>Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Report to those charged with governance</li> </ul>
<p><b>Fee Information</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Breakdown of fee information at the agreement of the initial audit plan</li> <li>▶ Breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Audit Plan</li> <li>▶ Report to those charged with governance</li> <li>▶ Annual Audit Letter if considered necessary</li> </ul>
<p><b>Certification work</b></p> <p>Summary of certification work undertaken</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Annual Report to those charged with governance summarising grant certification, and Annual Audit Letter if considered necessary</li> </ul>

**EY** | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

Ernst & Young LLP

© Ernst & Young LLP. Published in the UK.  
All Rights Reserved.

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited.

Ernst & Young LLP, 1 More London Place, London, SE1 2AF.

[ey.com](http://ey.com)